

April 9, 2004

Mr. Kevin D. Pagan Deputy City Attorney City of McAllen P.O. Box 220 McAllen, Texas 78505-0220

OR2004-2886

Dear Mr. Pagan:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 199051.

The City of McAllen (the "city") received a request for the personnel file of a named individual. You state that you will release some of the information. You claim that the submitted information is excepted from disclosure under sections 552.101, 552.117, and 552.130 of the Government Code. We have considered the exceptions you claim and reviewed the submitted information.

Section 552.101 excepts "information considered to be confidential by law, either constitutional, statutory, or by judicial decision." This section encompasses information protected by other statutes. The city claims that Exhibit B is confidential under the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996 ("HIPAA"), 42 U.S.C. §§ 1320d-1320d-8. At the direction of Congress, the Secretary of Health and Human Services ("HHS") promulgated regulations setting privacy standards for medical records, which HHS issued as the Federal Standards for Privacy of Individually Identifiable Health Information. See Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996, 42 U.S.C. § 1320d-2 (Supp. IV 1998) (historical & statutory note); Standards for Privacy of Individually Identifiable Health Information, 45 C.F.R. Pts. 160, 164 ("Privacy Rule"); see also Attorney General Opinion JC-0508 at 2 (2002). These standards govern the releasability of protected health information by a covered entity. See 45 C.F.R. pts. 160, 164. Under these standards, a covered entity may not use or disclose protected health information, except as provided by parts 160 and 164 of the Code of Federal Regulations. 45 C.F.R. § 164.502(a).

This office recently addressed the interplay of the Privacy Rule and the Public Information Act (the "Act"). Open Records Decision No. 681 (2004). In that decision, we noted that section 164.512 of title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations provides that a covered entity may use or disclose protected health information to the extent that such use or disclosure is required by law and the use or disclosure complies with and is limited to the relevant requirements of such law. See 45 C.F.R. § 164.512(a)(1). We further noted that the Act "is a mandate in Texas law that compels Texas governmental bodies to disclose information to the public." See Open Records Decision No. 681 at 8 (2004); see also Gov't Code §§ 552.002, .003, .021. We, therefore, held that the disclosures under the Act come within section 164.512(a). Consequently, the Privacy Rule does not make information confidential for the purpose of section 552.101 of the Government Code. Open Records Decision No. 681 at 9 (2004); see also Open Records Decision No. 478 (1987) (as general rule, statutory confidentiality requires express language making information confidential). Because the Privacy Rule does not make confidential information that is subject to disclosure under the Act, the city may withhold requested protected health information from the public only if an exception in subchapter C of the Act applies.

Section 552.101 of the Government Code also encompasses the doctrine of common law privacy, which protects information if (1) the information contains highly intimate or embarrassing facts the publication of which would be highly objectionable to a reasonable person, and (2) the information is not of legitimate concern to the public. *Industrial Found.* v. Texas Indus. Accident Bd., 540 S.W.2d 668, 685 (Tex. 1976), cert. denied, 430 U.S. 931 (1977).

This office has found that some kinds of medical information or information indicating disabilities or specific illnesses are excepted from disclosure under common law privacy. See Open Records Decision Nos. 470 (1987) (illness from severe emotional and job-related stress), 455 (1987) (prescription drugs, illnesses, operations, and physical handicaps). We have marked the medical information that must be withheld under section 552.101 of the Government Code and common law privacy. The remaining medical information you have marked is not intimate or embarrassing as contemplated by Industrial Foundation and, therefore, may not be withheld under common law privacy.

This office has also determined that some personal financial information is highly intimate or embarrassing and thus meets the first part of the *Industrial Foundation* test. See Open Records Decision Nos. 600 (1992) (Employee's Withholding Allowance Certificate; designation of beneficiary of employee's retirement benefits; direct deposit authorization; and forms allowing employee to allocate pretax compensation to group insurance, health care or dependent care), 545 (1990) (deferred compensation information, mortgage payments, assets, bills, and credit history), 523 (1989). However, where a transaction is funded in part by a governmental body, it involves the employee in a transaction with the governmental body and is not protected by privacy. Thus, information about the essential features of an employee's participation in a group insurance program funded in part by a governmental

body involves him in a transaction with the governmental body and, therefore, is not excepted from disclosure by a right of privacy. On the other hand, information relating to an employee's election of optional coverages is confidential under the right of privacy. *Id.* at 10-11. Similarly, this office has determined that information revealing the personal financial decision to voluntarily have certain deductions made from an employee's paycheck meets the *Industrial Foundation* test. Open Records Decision No. 545 (1990). We have marked the personal financial information that is excepted from disclosure under section 552.101 and common law privacy.

Further, where an individual's criminal history information has been compiled by a governmental entity, the information takes on a character that implicates the individual's right to privacy. See United States Dep't of Justice v. Reporters Comm. for Freedom of the Press, 489 U.S. 749 (1989). Therefore, we have marked the information that must be withheld in accordance with Reporters Committee.

Next, section 552.117 excepts from disclosure the home addresses and telephone numbers, social security numbers, and family member information of current or former officials or employees of a governmental body who request that this information be kept confidential under section 552.024. Whether a particular piece of information is protected under section 552.117 must be determined at the time the request for it is made. *See* Open Records Decision No. 530 at 5 (1989).

In this instance, you state, "As permitted by the Section 552.024 of the Act, [the named individual] chose not to allow public access to information in the custody of the City of McAllen that relates to her home address, telephone number, social security number, or that reveals whether she has family members." However, you also inform us that "[The named individual] did not designate to the City of McAllen whether she wanted to release her home address, telephone number, social security number, or information that reveals whether she has family members." Therefore, if the employee did in fact elect to keep her home address and telephone number, social security number, and family member information confidential, section 552.117 requires the city to withhold the information you have marked. If such is the case, we have marked additional information that must be withheld under section 552.117. If, however, the employee did not make such an election, her personal information may not be withheld pursuant to section 552.117.

If the employee did not elect to withhold her social security number under section 552.024, her social security number may nevertheless be confidential under federal law. A social security number or "related record" may be excepted from disclosure under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with the 1990 amendments to the federal Social Security Act, 42 U.S.C. § 405(c)(2)(C)(viii)(I). See Open Records Decision No. 622 (1994). These amendments make confidential social security numbers and related records that are obtained and maintained by a state agency or political subdivision of the state pursuant to any provision of law enacted on or after October 1, 1990. See id. We have no basis for

concluding that the social security number in the submitted information is confidential under section 405(c)(2)(C)(viii)(I), and therefore excepted from public disclosure under section 552.101 on the basis of that federal provision. We caution the city, however, that section 552.352 of the Act imposes criminal penalties for the release of confidential information. Prior to releasing the social security number, you should ensure that the number was not obtained or maintained by the city pursuant to any provision of law, enacted on or after October 1, 1990.

You also claim that the Texas motor vehicle information contained in the submitted records is excepted under section 552.130 of the Government Code. Section 552.130 provides in relevant part:

- (a) Information is excepted from the requirement of Section 552.021 if the information relates to:
  - (1) a motor vehicle operator's or driver's license or permit issued by an agency of this state; [or]
  - (2) a motor vehicle title or registration issued by an agency of this state[.]

Therefore, you must withhold the Texas motor vehicle information we have marked under section 552.130 of the Government Code.

Finally, we note that section 552.137 of the Government Code is applicable to a portion of the submitted information. Section 552.137 makes certain e-mail addresses confidential and provides:

- (a) Except as otherwise provided by this section, an e-mail address of a member of the public that is provided for the purpose of communicating electronically with a governmental body is confidential and not subject to disclosure under this chapter.
- (b) Confidential information described by this section that relates to a member of the public may be disclosed if the member of the public affirmatively consents to its release.
- (c) Subsection (a) does not apply to an e-mail address:
  - (1) provided to a governmental body by a person who has a contractual relationship with the governmental body or by the contractor's agent;

- (2) provided to a governmental body by a vendor who seeks to contract with the governmental body or by the vendor's agent;
- (3) contained in a response to a request for bids or proposals, contained in a response to similar invitations soliciting offers or information relating to a potential contract, or provided to a governmental body in the course of negotiating the terms of a contract or potential contract; or
- (4) provided to a governmental body on a letterhead, coversheet, printed document, or other document made available to the public.
- (d) Subsection (a) does not prevent a governmental body from disclosing an e-mail address for any reason to another governmental body or to a federal agency.

Under section 552.137(b), a governmental body must withhold the e-mail address of a member of the general public, unless the individual to whom the e-mail address belongs has affirmatively consented to its public disclosure. You do not inform us that the individual to whom the e-mail address belongs has consented to its release. The city must, therefore, withhold the e-mail address we have marked under section 552.137 of the Government Code.

In summary, we have marked the information that is excepted from disclosure under section 552.101 and common law privacy. If the employee elected to keep her home address and telephone number, social security number, and family member information confidential under section 552.024, you must withhold this information under section 552.117. If the employee did not elect to withhold her social security number, then the social security number may nevertheless be confidential under federal law. You must withhold the information we have marked under sections 552.130 and 552.137. The remaining information must be released.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the

governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, within 10 calendar days of this ruling, the governmental body will do one of the following three things: 1) release the public records; 2) notify the requestor of the exact day, time, and place that copies of the records will be provided or that the records can be inspected; or 3) notify the requestor of the governmental body's intent to challenge this letter ruling in court. If the governmental body fails to do one of these three things within 10 calendar days of this ruling, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Dep't of Pub. Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.—Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Texas Building and Procurement Commission at (512) 475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. We note that a third party may challenge this ruling by filing suit seeking to withhold information from a requestor. Gov't Code § 552.325. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,

Melissa Vela-Martinez Assistant Attorney General Open Records Division

MVM/sdk

## Mr. Kevin D. Pagan - Page 7

Ref: ID# 199051

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Ms. Karol Montes
Citizens for a Better and Safer McAllen
4121 North 10<sup>th</sup> Street #132
McAllen, Texas 78504
(w/o enclosures)